Page 1

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

FOX MORAINE, L.L.C.,)
Petitioner,)
-vs-) PCB 07-146
UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE, CITY COUNCIL,	RECEIVED
Respondent,	OLERK'S OFFICE) APR 2 7 2009
KENDALL COUNTY,	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Intervenor)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS taken before Tamara Manganiello, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, at 902 Game Farm Road, Yorkville, Illinois, commencing at the 9:00 a.m., on the 23rd day of April, A.D., 2009.

```
Page 2
      APPEARANCES:
 2
          ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
 3
          100 West Randolph Street
          Suite 11-500
          Chicago, Illinois
                              60601
          (312) 814-3461
 5
          BY:
               MR. BRADLEY HALLORAN, HEARING OFFICER
          MUELLER ANDERSON, P.C.
 7
          609 Etna Road
          Ottawa, Illinois 61350
 8
          (815) 431-1500
          BY: MR. GEORGE MUELLER
               On behalf of Fox Moraine, L.L.C.;
10
          WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON, L.L.P.
          225 West Wacker Drive
          Chicago, Illinois 60606-1229
12
          (312) 201-2000
13
          BY: MR. LEO P. DOMBROWSKI
               MR. ANTHONY G. HOPP
14
               On behalf of United City of Yorkville;
15
16
          MOMKUS MCCLUSKEY, L.L.C.
          1001 Warrenville Road
17
          Suite 500
          Lisle, Illinois 60532
18
          (630) 434-0400
          BY: MR. JAMES F. MCCLUSKEY
19
               MR. JAMES S. HARKNESS
20
               Appeared on behalf of Kendall County,
               Intervenor.
21
22
23
24
```

1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good
2	morning, everyone. My name is Bradley
3	Halloran. I'm a hearing officer with the
4	Illinois Pollution Control Board. This is
5	the third day of the hearings in case number
6	PCB 7-146. Again, it's entitled Fox Moraine,
7	L.L.C., petitioner, versus United City of
8	Yorkville, City Council, the respondent, and
9	then we have Kendall County, the intervenor.
10	Again, this hearing was started on
11	April 21st. It went yesterday, April 22nd.
12	And today is April 23rd, approximately
13	9:00 a.m.
14	And as a refresher, again, I'm a
15	hearing officer. I will not be making the
16	ultimate determination in the case. That's
17	left up to the Board members. They will
18	review the record and the transcript and any
19	post-hearing briefing schedules any
20	post-hearing briefs that are filed.
21	In a while, after Yorkville rests
22	its case in chief, I'll set a post-hearing
23	briefing schedule which will include a time
24	for the parties to file their briefs, and

- Q. And how long have you lived there?
- A. Ten years.
- Q. How far is Plano from Yorkville?
- 4 A. Approximately five miles.
- ⁵ Q. Are you a lifelong resident of the
- 6 Plano/Yorkville area?
- 7 A. I'm approximately a 37-year resident.
- Q. What is your relationship to Fox
- 9 Moraine, L.L.C.?
- 10 A. I'm the manager of Fox Moraine, L.L.C.
- Q. And you are the sole managing member;
- is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And you have an ownership interest in
- 15 Fox Moraine?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. What is that ownership interest?
- A. We have approximately a 51 percent
- 19 interest.
- Q. That is yours, 51 percent?
- A. And my family.
- Q. And who owns the other 49 percent?
- A. Grote Industries.
- Q. And Grote is a waste hauler, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. When was Fox Moraine, L.L.C., formed?
- A. Approximately two-and-a-half years
- 4 ago.
- 5 Q. And Fox Moraine, L.L.C., was formed to
- 6 seek the siting of a landfill, correct?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. Who owns the property that is -- that
- 9 forms the proposed landfill site?
- 10 A. Fox Moraine.
- 11 Q. The L.L.C. owns --
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. -- that property?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Did at some point an entity called
- Northstar Trust own that property?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you say the ownership has changed
- 19 at some point?
- 20 A. When the dollars exchanged hands, it
- changed.
- Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. Well, when Grote Industries bought
- into the L.L.C.

- Q. Who are the beneficiaries of the
- Northstar Trust?
- A. Donald J. and Carol S. Hamman.
- Q. Anyone else?
- 5 A. Not to my recollection.
- 6 Q. Mr. Hamman, you signed the host
- 7 agreement as the managing member of Fox Moraine,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. What do you mean by post agreement?
- Q. Host agreement.
- 11 A. Oh, the host agreement, yes.
- Q. And you would be -- is it fair to say
- you're the main person behind Fox Moraine, L.L.C.?
- 14 MR. MUELLER: I think that's been
- asked and answered.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- 17 A. I have a --
- 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. I have a management team that I rely
- upon. The success of my business -- in all of my
- business years, I've never micromanaged any of my
- projects. I take the advice of my management team.
- 24 And if they recommend it, I go along with it.

- Q. But as you just stated, though, for
- example, you signed the host agreement on behalf of
- 3 the L.L.C., correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You signed the check for the
- 6 application fee for the siting process on behalf of
- 7 the L.L.C., correct?
- A. I don't recall if I signed it. The
- 9 checking comes out of -- Grote Industries has been
- issuing all the checks. They have a very large
- 11 accounting department.
- Q. You signed the cover letter that's
- transmitted the application to the City of
- 14 Yorkville, correct?
- 15 A. Yes. Could I see that letter?
- Q. Sure.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, if it will
- help and speed things up, we'll stipulate
- that the buck stops with Mr. Hamman. He's
- the person ultimately in charge.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
- 22 Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: We accept that
- stipulation.

marked as Yorkville Exhibit No. 3.

24

- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I also have one for
- the Hearing Officer and Mr. Mueller.
- 3 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. Can you tell me what -- and these are
- 5 also taken from the record on appeal in this case.
- Exhibit 2 bears the Bates numbers of C00890.
- 7 Exhibit 3 is C00891. What is Exhibit No. 2,
- 8 Mr. Hamman?
- 9 A. It is the check written to the United
- 10 City of Yorkville for \$100,000.
- Q. And that was the application fee in
- this matter or at least the initial fee?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is your signature, correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And what is Exhibit 3?
- A. It's the siting application for Fox
- Moraine. It was hand delivered to the United City
- of Yorkville with my signature on it.
- Q. That's your signature, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you signed as the manager member
- of Fox Moraine?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. And the application was filed on
- 2 December 1st, 2006?
- A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. When you filed the application on
- 5 December 1st, 2006, you knew that the City of
- 6 Yorkville would be holding elections in April 2007,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you knew when you filed the
- 10 application that Mayor Art Prochaska would be up for
- 11 re-election?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew when you filed the
- application that four of the eight aldermanic seats
- were up for election, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew that the hearings on your
- landfill application would be held in the spring of
- 19 2007, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew when you filed the
- application that the election campaigns of the
- various candidates would be happening at the same
- time as the hearings on your landfill application,

- 1 correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now as far as you know, Mr. Hamman,
- 4 nothing prevented you from filing the application
- 5 any earlier, correct?
- A. Well, it was recommended that we do it
- then because we were waiting for additional
- engineering. We wanted to have the safest and the
- 9 best landfill study done and that's -- the time went
- on and that's why it approached December 1.
- 11 Q. Now you remember that I took your
- deposition in this matter, Mr. Hamman?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me show you your deposition
- transcript. Handing you the deposition transcript
- from your deposition, if I could refer you to the
- bottom of Page 9, Line 23.
- A. Whereabouts now?
- 19 Q. Line 23 at the bottom of Page 9.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that you were asked: So as
- you sit here nothing that you know of that would
- have prevented Fox Moraine, L.L.C., from filing its
- landfill application earlier than December of 2006,

- 1 correct? You were asked that question?
- A. It must be on the -- I don't find it
- on Page 9. Could you please come and show me that?
- 4 Q. The page numbers are here at the
- 5 bottom. This Page 9 here (indicating).
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Do you see that question beginning on
- 8 Line 23?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. And you were asked that question on
- 11 that day, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you see Line 8 of Page 10?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You first answered I don't know; is
- 16 that right?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You have to answer out loud, sir.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then I asked you, nothing that you
- 21 know of, correct; do you see that?
- 22 A. Once again, I took the recommendations
- from my management team.
- Q. I'm just asking you, you were asked

- that question, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And you said, not that I know,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you took an oath on that day to
- 7 tell the truth, correct?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. And nothing would have prevented you
- from filing the application later than December 1st,
- 11 2006, correct?
- 12 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Yet you still chose to file it on
- December 1st, 2006, about four-and-a-half months
- before the elections, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you filed the application you
- knew that the possible siting of a landfill in the
- 19 City of Yorkville could become a big, contentious,
- controversial issue during the election campaigns,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Some cities welcome a landfill and
- others don't, so...
- Q. But you knew possibly that it --

```
1 A. Yes.
```

- Q. -- could be a controversial issue,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Mr. Hamman, you have a subscription to
- 6 the Beacon News, do you not?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And you've had that subscription for
- 9 some time?
- 10 A. A number of years.
- 11 Q. You get the paper delivered daily?
- 12 A. We do.
- Q. And you had that subscription both in
- 14 2006 and 2007, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember an article two
- days before the before April 17th elections that
- came out on April 15th, which was a Sunday?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And various candidates were quoted
- regarding their positions on the proposed landfill,
- 22 correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you recall reading that article on

- that day, April the 15th?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And upon reading that article you
- 4 thought that candidates Joe Plocher, Robyn Sutcliffe
- 5 and Wally Werderich were all biased against the
- 6 proposed landfill, correct?
- 7 A. In my reading of it, it indicated to
- 8 me that they were biased, yes.
- 9 Q. You called Charlie Murphy on that day
- or the next day to talk about the article?
- 11 A. It would have been probably the next
- day. I didn't want to bother him on a Sunday. But
- I did alert him to it because he does not receive
- the Kendall County edition of the Aurora Beacon
- News.
- Q. And you two discussed your conclusion
- that these candidates were biased, right?
- 18 A. I indicated that I had some concern
- that they were biased, yes, I did.
- Q. And you also relayed that concern to
- Mr. Burnham; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. It also would have been the following
- 24 day, Monday, April 16th?

- 1 A. Sunday or Monday. But most likely it
- would have been on Monday because I don't burden him
- on weekends with --
- Q. Now let me ask you about some of the
- other aldermen. You're aware that Alderman Golinski
- 6 voted no on the landfill application?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you claiming he was biased?
- 9 A. Well, I think he was probably
- pressured by the other aldermen when he seen the way
- the outcome was to vote the way he did.
- 12 Q. My question is are you claiming he
- also was biased the way the other three that we've
- just talked about were biased?
- MR. MUELLER: I think he's answered
- the question, Mr. Halloran.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow
- a little more. Overruled. If you can answer
- the question.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A. No.
- 22 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. And you're aware that Alderman Leslie
- voted no on the application?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you claiming that he was biased?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And it was your conclusion that before
- 5 the vote on the application was taken on May 24th,
- 6 2007, that Alderman Leslie was biased, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And you know that Alderman Munns voted
- 9 no on the application?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are you also claiming that he was
- biased against the proposed landfill?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And that was your conclusion prior to
- the vote on May 24th, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Nothing further,
- Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
- Mr. Dombrowski. Before I forget, I just want
- to introduce the attorneys for the parties
- here. I neglected to do that.
- From my right to left we have
- Mr. James Harkness and Mr. James McCluskey

	ruge 12
1	for Kendall County, intervenor, and we have
2	Mr. George Mueller for Fox Moraine and we
3	have Mr. Leo Dombrowski and Mr. Anthony Hopp
4	for City of Yorkville. Thank you.
5	MR. MUELLER: Just a couple,
6	Mr. Hamman.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. MUELLER:
9	Q. With regard to whether or not there
10	was enough information to make a good faith motion
11	to disqualify anyone for bias, did you leave that
12	decision to your management team?
13	MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object, it calls
14	for a legal conclusion as to whether there
15	was enough information.
16	MR. MUELLER: No. The question is did
17	he make the decision or leave it to someone
18	else, not whether there was enough
19	information.
20	MR. DOMBROWSKI: I think that's a
21	different question.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may
23	answer.
24	BY THE WITNESS:

1 I left it entirely up to my management Α. 2 team. As I emphasized before, I rely on surrounding myself with good people. That's the reason for my 3 success. I took their advice and I left it up to them. We hope to justify your confidence, Q. Mr. Hamman. MR. MUELLER: No further questions. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. 10 Mr. Dombrowski? 11 MR. DOMBROWSKI: Nothing further. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, 13 Mr. Hamman. You may step down. Glad to see you're back in good health. 14 THE WITNESS: Likewise. 15 16 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before we 17 go off the record and briefly discuss the 18 exhibits again --19 MR. HOPP: Mr. Hearing Officer, I'm 20 sorry to interrupt. I did receive a note 21 that there's a member of the public who might 22 like to make a comment. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That was my next step. Actually, I should have asked if 24

1	Yorkville has rested your case?
2	MR. DOMBROWSKI: Yes, we do.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Fox
4	Moraine, any rebuttal?
5	MR. MUELLER: No rebuttal?
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. At
7	this time, any members of the public that
8	would like to get up here and give an oral
9	statement or a public comment, please come
10	up.
11	(Whereupon, a discussion
12	was had off the record.)
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, sir.
14	Come on up here. Again, you have a choice,
15	you can make an oral statement under oath and
16	you're subject to cross examination or just
17	get up here and do public comment to say your
18	peace.
19	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: I can go under oath.
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And
21	you're subject to cross.
22	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: If Mr. Mueller would
23	cross, I would be glad to allow him to do
24	that.

1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And it must
2	be relevant. Raise your right hand and Tammi
3	will swear you in.
4	(Witness sworn.)
5	THE WITNESS: Stan R. Ludwikowski,
6	L-U-D-W-I-K-O-W-S-K-I. I'm a resident of
7	Yorkville. I've been a resident
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. We
9	have your name. Just speak to the crowd and
10	keep your voice up, please.
11	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: I understand that
12	this hearing is to determine the fundamental
13	fairness of the original siting hearings.
14	During that hearing we were
15	repeatedly told about how the proposed
16	landfill was the safest and best and the fact
17	it was reiterated this morning by Mr. Hamman
18	that this was the safest and best landfill in
19	the state of Illinois.
20	The representative from Shaw
21	Engineering made that very clear over and
22	over. And the council members and the
23	residents of Yorkville were repeatedly told
24	about how this was the best design out there.

1	And yet when I had an opportunity to review
2	the proposal, there was a huge flaw in the
3	design.
4	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I'm going
5	to interpose an objection at this point in
6	time. The record is closed as to the
7	substantive criteria. I think this witness
8	intends to offer technical information on
9	criterion two, and that's not the scope of
10	this hearing. That record is closed.
11	If it was public comment, it'd be
12	appropriate to give him some leeway. But to
13	the extent that he's chosen to be sworn and
14	now is going to purport to offer substantive
15	expert testimony on a criterion, that's
16	completely inappropriate.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow
18	him a little leeway. Feel free to object at
19	a later time, Mr. Mueller. Again, you may
20	proceed.
21	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: I don't claim to be
22	an expert. This is just $publ$ ic comment.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, it's
24	not public. It's an oral statement and

you're under oath, so --

THE WITNESS: That's fine. I think
because of some of the things that were
pointed out during the original hearing, it's
very evident that the council made the
appropriate decision based on what was
presented during those hearings.

I don't believe that there was any preconceived notion on the members of the council. I believe that based on the facts that were presented, that the appropriate decision was made.

It's kind of like the safety of
the landfill is based on the monitoring wells
and it's kind of like you're trying to
collect the water from the landfill in a
bucket and you're pouring the water in the
top of the bucket and there's no bottom to
the bucket.

The bottom of the bucket is the monitoring wells around the landfill. And there were no monitoring wells downstream of the landfill for 25 years. After --

MR. MUELLER: Again, I'm going to

1	object at this point, Mr. Halloran. This
2	clearly is now technical criterion two
3	evidence.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sir?
5	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: I just feel that
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The record
7	is closed now, but I'll allow you a little
8	more leeway. You stated you're not an expert
9	and the Board will weigh your testimony
10	accordingly.
11	MR. LUDWIKOWSKI: I think that what
12	came out during the hearings was adequate to
13	make the correct decision. That the fact
14	that, you know, the representative of Shaw
15	Engineering indicated that they would change
16	the design based on monitoring well location
17	to me says that it puts doubt in your mind as
18	to the safety of the entire facility.
19	And based on those doubts, that's
20	what the decision was based on. No
21	preconceived notion by council members or
22	that. But the facts brought out during the
23	hearing show that there was adequate reason
24	to deny this landfill. I don't have anything

1	else.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
3	sir. Mr. Mueller?
4	MR. MUELLER: I have no questions.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may
6	step down. Thank you, sir.
7	Would you like to give public
8	comment?
9	MR. JOHNSON: Public comment.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Have
11	a seat.
12	MR. JOHNSON: Can I stand?
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Whatever
14	you feel more comfortable with. Just state
15	your name loudly.
16	MR. JOHNSON: Mark A. Johnson from
17	Yorkville.
18	Good morning, my name is Mark
19	Johnson. I've lived in Yorkville for
20	59 years. I'd love to make a couple of
21	comments today.
22	Incorrect information was provided
23	by a witness yesterday concerning my signs
24	being removed from the Beecher Center per the

hearing officer. My signs were really not
signs, but instead were storyboards. I
developed these and displayed them at various
functions and community educational sessions.

I was disappointed that the team of people who were showing their biased information in support of the landfill didn't provide information from the other side of the argument.

These displays were approximately two-foot by three-foot storyboards showing why landfills are not necessarily good neighbors. I set up the displays at the back of the room near the huge 3D display of future landfill site that the landfill proponents had at each and every meeting.

The landfill's lawyer team protested to the hearing officer and wanted them removed from the hearing.

The hearing officer instructed the city council that they should not view these displays and this information, but that the landfill opposition had the right to display these storyboards. The storyboards were

. 1	allowed to stay and were not removed as was
2	stated.
3	If anyone removes signs at a
4	hearing, it was the family or friends of the
5	landfill proponents. I personally filed a
6	police report when my no-landfill signs were
7	stolen from the windshield of my truck while
8	parked at the Beecher Center outside of the
9	hearing room.
10	I regrettably didn't see who
11	actually tore them from my vehicle, but I
12	think it's safe to assume it wasn't members
13	of the FOGY.
14	Other witnesses stated over the
15	last two days that the Friends of Greater
16	Yorkville were disruptive or the opposition
17	of the landfill were disruptive at the
18	hearings. They were not.
19	There were times that the landfill
20	proponents were irritated by Yorkville
21	citizens in the crowd. I could only assume
22	that witnesses who stated that these members

were disruptive were -- of FOGY were

disruptive were mistaken and instead relating

23

24

1	to ordinary citizens and their rights.
2	The FOGY members were always
3	respectful of the landfill proponents at all
4	times during the many long, torturous days of
5	the hearings.
6	My final comment is about why the
7	landfill hearings were even necessary to
8	begin with. First and foremost, the Kendall
9	County board alone opened the landfill siting
10	process by changing the county policy
11	allowing landfills to come to the area.
12	The City of Yorkville didn't
13	create this mess and neither did Don Hamman.
14	The citizens must never forget that the whole
15	landfill debacle must be squarely placed on
16	the lap of the tipping fee hungry county
17	board. They alone are responsible for
18	opening Pandora's box.
19	Don Hamman was working closely
20	with our Kendall County board for months
21	trying to get enough votes to allow a
22	landfill to be sited on his property.
23	When it became obvious that
24	Mr. Hamman was going to be required to pay

1 higher tipping fees to the county than he was 2 willing to pay, he took his landfill to the City of Yorkville where comments were heard 3 that he owned the city government and would strike a better deal with them. I don't fault Mr. Hamman for playing one side against the other, after 8 all, that is how most political games are 9 played in the state of Illinois these days as evidenced by the rampant corruption 10 11 throughout the state in the last few years 12 and more specifically the most -- at most 13 landfill sitings, especially in Kankakee. 14 The statements made by the 15 landfill proponents that they have been 16 treated unfair is like telling David he was 17 unfair to Goliath. Thank you for giving me 18 this opportunity to correct witnesses, 19 comments and set the record straight. 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, 21 sir. 22 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, sir. 24 Public comment?

1		MR. GILSON: Yes, public comment.
2		I'll stand up here if you don't mind.
3		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That would
4		be great. And speak loudly so that Tammi can
5		hear you and your name and spell it, please.
6		MR. GILSON: Hello. My name is George
7	*	Gilson and I am currently a have always been
8		the president of Friends of Greater
9		Yorkville. I wanted to point out a few
10		interesting things for the record in public
11		comment to be considered before these
12		proceedings come to a close.
13		First, throughout these
14		proceedings Fox Moraine's witnesses and their
15		attorneys continually make an attempt to
16		attack the efforts of Friends of Greater
17		Yorkville as a non-for-profit corporation
18		established and chartered for the betterment
19		of Yorkville and the surrounding community.
20		Along with their continual
21		attempts to discredit their efforts put forth
22	-	in opposition to this landfill, they also try
23	-	to paint a negative portrait of the
24		individual members of the corporation without

any clue of who the members actually are.

Friends of Greater Yorkville, or commonly referred to as the opposition group by Fox Moraine, only consists of five members of which most if not all of the these accusations in this appeal do not apply to.

Not only do Fox Moraine and their attorneys not only -- not only do Fox Moraine and their attorneys not know who FOGY is, but they continually take what was a community opposition consisting of many people of the community stepping forward and opposing this landfill application and everything that led up to it and are trying to convince the PCB to believe that anything said in opposition to the landfill before and during the application was all coming from the five individuals that make up the Friends of Greater Yorkville. This cannot be farther from the truth.

FOGY's concern was that the annexation process was being undertaken in a fundamentally unfair manner to the citizens of Yorkville and would have ultimately

resulted in a fate accompli approval of the landfill prior to the siting hearing.

It is ironic that Fox Moraine alleges ex parte communications by the citizens of Yorkville while admitting that its representatives did the same. FOGY as well as other citizens of Yorkville therefore elected to exercise their First Amendment and statutory rights to vigorous participation in the annexation process and the siting hearing.

There is nothing in the state
statute, the PCB rules or the case law that
could be interpreted to interfere with a
citizen's rights during the annexation
process and the PCB certainly would not want
to attempt to create a rule or finding which
would abridge or stifle the rights of
citizens to participate in public hearings
and to petition their elected officials.
These are core and fundamental legal rights.

I also find it interesting that

Fox Moraine's attorneys want the PCB to

believe that the 39.2 process supersedes the

Constitution of the United States and our
First Amendment rights to freedom of speech.
There are no threats of -- there

were no threats of -- there were no threats of physical harm made by anyone in FOGY and everything said in opposition to this landfill application as our right under the Constitution of the United States freedom of speech.

I find it interesting that stones are being cast on what they inaccurately believe as the members of FOGY, but yet the real and current long-standing members of FOGY have never been depositioned, have never been subpoenaed and/or put on the stand to testify to all the inaccuracies testified by the applicant and their witnesses.

Fox Moraine only wants the audience and the PCB to hear one side of the story and that is the skewed, after-the-fact version of why they are not granted approval of their application.

This version is a lot -- this version is a lot like sour grapes and cannot be trusted.

Fox Moraine does not like that the community opposed the landfill application and stepped forward to publically express their opposition in every way and at every opportunity.

Fox Moraine does not like that the community and members of FOGY petitioned their government as to their stance and beliefs about the annexation process and this landfill, but frankly that's too bad. There is nothing illegal about freedom of speech.

circumstance talk to the city council during the application about anything substantive in the application. The current city council made their decision to deny this landfill based on the 39.2 process and the lack of Fox Moraine to meet the criteria set forth in that process.

The PCB needs to only be concerned with one thing, and that is it. Fox Moraine had ample time, witnesses and opportunity to prove that they can meet all the criteria set forth in this siting process and

1	unfortunately for them could not get that
2	task accomplished.
3	Fortunately for the community,
4	this landfill was denied based on the facts
5	and that fact alone. Therefore, their
6	decision is to deny this landfill
7	therefore, their decision to deny this
8	landfill should be upheld.
9	I know that the appellate court
10	will uphold this decision in the future.
11	Thanks for your time and careful
12	consideration of these thoughts and comments.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
14	Mr. Gilson.
15	MR. GILSON: Thank you.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anyone
17	else? Yes, sir. Do you want to get sworn in
18	or just public comment.
19	MR. DUSELL: Just public comment. My
20	name is Fred Dusell.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
22	spell your last name for us?
23	MR. DUSELL: D-U, capital S, E-L-L.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

-	MR. DUSELL: I'm a resident of
?	Yorkville, Illinois. I realize this is out
i	of the scope of the Hearing Officer's
:	control, but my opinion is that this
j	application process is severely flawed.

For example, when you're pitting the elected officials against the electorates, in this case where they cannot speak to their constituents, I on a number of occasions had talked to my Alderman Golinski as well as his predecessor Alderman Wolfer and on several occasions was told that they could not answer my questions or could not discuss this issue. That's severely flawed.

That's why I elected them. I should have a representative in the City Council. Going on from that, I've been going through some of the testimony I've heard over the hearings since I've been here since the beginning. I find it ironic and hypocritical that Mr. Mueller would contest the opposition parties coming from out of town here to voice their opinion against this landfill siting.

I happened to be at the Grand

Reserve School one night for a hearing and after the hearing I went to the Bristol Tap and lo and behold a fellow by the name Gary Karafeat (phonetic), who was supposed to present himself at the hearing as an unbiased party and wanted to give presentation pro-landfill, happened to walk into the Bristol Tap and join Mr. Mueller at the table there.

And I'm thinking this is unbiased?
They're slugging beers down together. Was
there no prior affiliation with these people
as well as several other folks who owned the
Beacon News, a proponent of the landfill
issue.

And going back to Gary Golinski, my concern was basically the backroom deals were appearing to happen at city hall with Mayor Prochaska and the management team from Fox Moraine. And my concern I was trying to get across to Gary Golinski was that the questionable proceedings going on with the sanitation process could possibly cause the city some severe legal ramifications.

1	There again, I was told he could
2	not comment and discussion couldn't happen.
3	I find that very, very flawed and I would
4	hope that we can get something resolved with
5	this application process in the future so
6	that people who elect people here have
7	representation at city council. Thank you
8	for allowing me to comment.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
10	sir. Anyone else? Okay. For the record,
11	there's about 20 members of the public it
12	looks like sitting in the audience and I
13	appreciate their comments and statements.
14	Mr. Dombrowski, I do have Exhibits
15	2 and 3 that you just handed me. What do we
16	want to do with these?
17	MR. DOMBROWSKI: We'd like to move
18	those into evidence, Mr. Hearing Officer.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
20	Mr. Mueller?
21	MR. MUELLER: I don't have any
22	objection.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
24	Yorkville's Exhibits 2 and 3 admitted. Okay.

	1430 16
1	It's been represented that all parties have
2	rested their case.
3	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, can I make
4	a
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes,
6	Mr. Mueller?
7	MR. MUELLER: Can I file a motion
8	before we go any further? It deals with the
9	hearing officer's credibility finding and the
10	testimony of Valerie Burd.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
12	Mr. Dombrowski, Mr. Hopp, what I will do, I
13	will take this motion under advisement. And,
14	obviously, you can respond in writing because
15	you can't look through all of this stuff
16	right now.
17	MR. HOPP: Correct.
18	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: But I'm
19	just noticing Paragrah 2, Fox Moraine alleges
20	the hearing officer in this type of hearing
21	is required to make a finding regarding
22	credibility of witnesses.
23	I respectfully disagree. It's not
24	in the rules. However, it is usually custom.

, 1	But I was going to reserve, if at all, my
2	finding in my hearing officer report.
3	But how much time do you think you
4	will need to respond to this?
5	MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, Mr. Mueller,
6	are these citations to these are citations
7	to hearing transcripts?
8	MR. MUELLER: All of the citations,
9	with the exception of March 26th, are
10	citations to city council meetings that the
11	transcripts of which have been admitted into
12	evidence at this hearing. And March 26th is
13	already part of the record as it was filed by
14	the City of Yorkville.
15	MR. DOMBROWSKI: We'd like two weeks
16	to respond, Mr. Hearing Officer.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. So
18	May 7th. Mr. Mueller, which exhibit did you
19	say this was?
20	MR. MUELLER: This is a motion, so
21	it's not an exhibit.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry.
23	Well, you know what, I'll take it as Hearing
24	Officer Exhibit 2.

1	MR. MUELLER: Which reminds me,
2	Mr. Halloran, what was Hearing Officer
3	Exhibit 1?
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We'll get
5	to that in a minute.
6	MR. MUELLER: Sorry.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No. That's
8	fine. And Kendall County is more than you
9	know, you can respond if he wants to.
10	Mr. Mueller, do you care to make a
11	closing argument or are you going to reserve
12	it for post-hearing briefs?
13	MR. MUELLER: We'll waive closing in
14	light of the fact that the parties will file
15	written briefs.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
17	Terrific. Mr. Dombrowski?
18	MR. DOMBROWSKI: The same.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The same.
20	Kendall County?
21	MR. HARKNESS: Same here.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: With that
23	said, I think we're going to go through some
24	housekeeping matters right now regarding the

1	exhibits.
2	And forgive me, Counsels, I'm
3	going to go through what I have first. It's
4	a little out of order. I have as Hearing
5	Officer Exhibit No. 1, that's Fox Moraine's
6	offer of proof regarding deliberative
7	process.
8	I have Kendall County's Exhibit
9	No. 1, which is a stipulation between Fox
10	Moraine and County of Kendall.
11	I also have Yorkville's Exhibit 1,
12	probably be defined as a copy of a palm card
13	regarding what the city council members can
14	or cannot do on a siting application process.
15	And, again, I have Yorkville's
16	Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3. No. 2 is the check for
17	\$100,000 and Exhibit No. 3 is the siting
18	application for Fox Moraine landfill. It's a
19	letter to the city clerk dated December 1st,
20	2006.
21	I have as Fox Moraine's Exhibit
22	No. 14, a newspaper article dated April 5th,
23	2007, that was taken as an offer of proof to

assist the witness testimony.

24

	1490 1
1	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit
2	Number 15, it's a campaign disclosure of
3	Mayor Prochaska.
4	I also have the invoice dated
5	June 15th, 2007, that's Fox Moraine's Exhibit
6	No. 16.
7	I have as Exhibit 17, which is Fox
8	Moraine's exhibit, it's the minutes from
9	May 8th, 2007.
10	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit
11	No. 20, it's a web page from I believe Ms.
12	Sutcliffe. It's Bates stamped 58 at the
13	bottom. I don't see a date on it.
14	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit 21,
15	another web page from Robyn Sutcliffe dated
16	January 3rd, 2007.
17	Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 22, I
18	believe it's another web page from
19	Ms. Sutcliffe dated January 9th, 2007.
20	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 23, it's a
21	document regarding a public hearing from
22	January 23rd, 2007.
23	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 24, taken as
24	an offer of proof, it's a letter from Chuck

1	Sutcliffe, a copy of the letter printed in
2	the Kendall County Record.
3	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 25, it's a
4	3rd Ward Advisor, I guess a letter put out by
5	Robyn Sutcliffe.
6	Exhibit Number 26, Fox Moraine,
7	it's a newspaper article dated November 2nd,
8	2006 taken as an offer of proof to assist.
9	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 27, another
10	newspaper article dated I believe it's
11	hand printed on here November 7th. It's
12	entitled Landfill Deal May Be Key to
13	Elections. That was taken as an offer of
14	proof.
15	MR. HOPP: 11/7/06?
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 11/7/06.
17	It didn't have the '06 there. Thank you.
18	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 28, it's a
19	palm card from Wally Werderich regarding his
20	election, there's a new voice for the Ward 1.
21	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit
22	Number 29 taken as an offer of proof. It's
23	the first page of a pleading entitled
24	petitioner's response to motion for attorney

1	fees in PCB case number 8-95.
2	Fox Moraine's Exhibit Number 30
3	taken as an offer of proof. It's a pleading
4	entitled verification in support of
5	Yorkville's response to motion for attorney
6	fees, it's file stamped September 22nd in
7	case PCB 8-95.
8	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 31, it's a
9	document ordinance number 2006-117.
10	A resolution document, Fox
11	Moraine's Exhibit No. 33, denial of sitting
12	application.
13	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 34, another
14	document, it's a resolution, it's entitled
15	approval with conditions of the siting
16	application, it's Bates stamped 80 at the
17	bottom.
18	Fox Moraine's Exhibit 35, it's
19	another resolution document, denial of siting
20	application, Bates stamped 82 at the bottom.
21	Another resolution document,
22	approval/denial, it's Fox Moraine's
23	Exhibit 36, Bates stamped 86 at the bottom.
24	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit

No. 1, it's the plan commission meeting	ng,
2 United City of Yorkville. It's a repo	ort of
proceedings had at a meeting on	
September 25th, 2006.	
5 Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 2	2,
6 again, it's the report of proceedings	at the
meeting on September 26th, 2006.	
Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 3	3,
9 regular city council meeting, United (City of
10 Yorkville, Illinois, proceedings regar	rding
11 October 10th, 2006.	
Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 4	4 .
again, report of proceedings held on	-,
agazzi, lopolo ol plococaligo ilela eli	
October 24th, 2006.	
Another document, Fox Mora	ine
Exhibit No. 5, proceedings of October	30th,
2006.	
Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 6	6,
report of the proceedings for the meet	ting
held November 13th, 2006.	
Fox Moraine's Exhibit No.	7,
again, report of the proceedings held	on
November 14th, 2006, city council meet	ting.
Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 8	8,

1	report of the proceedings, city council
2	meeting dated November 30th, 2006.
3	Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 9,
4	report of the proceedings from the council
5	meeting dated January 23rd, 2007.
6	And it was discussed during the
7	hearing it was a complete court file of
8	Kendall County, the lawsuit, number 070V43, 1
9	believe, Yorkville versus Hamman. What I
10	allowed is the I take administrative
11	notice, official notice of the court ruling
12	or opinions, but I will not accept the
13	pleadings. I do not have that in my hand.
14	And Mr. Mueller can address that when I get
15	finished here.
16	I have Fox Moraine's Exhibit
17	No. 11, which I don't have, but it's the
18	Yorkville versus Fox Moraine case, Circuit
19	Court, 08 L 68, I believe. Again, I took
20	official notice of the court rulings
21	opinions, but I will not accept the pleadings
22	therein.
23	And Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 12,
24	Pollution Control Board orders, rulings in

1	8-95 and 8-96. I took administrative notice
2	of the orders and rulings by the Board
3	itself, but I will not accept the pleadings.
4	Again, I do not have 10, 11 and 12.
5	And I also don't have Fox
6	Moraine's Exhibit No. 13. It's I have
7	written down here a newspaper article. I
8	think law I can't read my own writing.
9	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I've got
10	all of those. I'm going to offer them in due
11	course. That's the Beacon article that had
12	all of the candidates' expressions and views.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Due
14	course would be now, I guess.
15	MR. MUELLER: Now. I'm ready whenever
16	you want me to go.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: To offer
18	Exhibit No. 13?
19	MR. MUELLER: Well, yeah.
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
21	Sure.
22	MR. MUELLER: I ve got 11 and 12 here,
23	also. Well, I've got 10 and 11. We talked
24	about those. And I have them in their

	3
1	entirety. Do you want me to pull those apart
2	to make them only the orders or are you
3	ruling that you'll receive the entire
4	document but only consider the orders?
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It would be
6	cleaner, I think, just to get the orders and
7	the ruling.
8	MR. MUELLER: All right. I'll pull
9	them apart then when we're done.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
11	MR. HOPP: On behalf of Yorkville,
12	your Honor, we would appreciate if nothing
13	that's not part of the actual exhibit is put
14	into the record. You said it would be
15	cleaner. If your ruling is just the orders,
16	then our position is we want just the orders.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think
18	that's what he's going to do.
19	MR. MUELLER: Yeah, I said I'll do
20	that.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He's going
22	to pull the other stuff out.
23	MR. MUELLER: No. 13 is the April 15th
24	Beacon News article entitled The Landfill

1	Yorkville Candidates Weigh In. And I don't
2	think I've offered it previously.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Hopp,
4	Mr. Dombrowski?
5	MR. HOPP: It has not been previously
6	offered in and we do object on the basis of
7	hearsay.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. As
9	with the others, I will take it as an offer
10	of proof merely to assist the Board in the
11	witness' testimony.
12	As far as the Fox Moraine's
13	exhibit No. 12, the PCB orders, rulings in
14	08-95, 8-96, Mr. Dombrowski, Mr. Hopp?
15	MR. HOPP: I have some difficulty with
16	that, Mr. Hearing Officer. I understand what
17	Mr. Mueller is getting at, but I can't not
18	object to an exhibit I haven't actually seen.
19	He's asking to mark this sort of
20	exhibit virtually in cyberspace and I've
21	never seen that happen before and I would
22	never allow it to happen without a strenuous
23	objection.
24	We don't know what the content of

the exhibit is other than just the orders in those two cases or whatever he's talking about in those two cases. I don't know what all of this entails.

MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, if I can explain, I originally moved that the Board take judicial notice of case numbers PCB 08-95 and 08-96. I did not have any hard copies of any of that because that's part of the Board's own record and so, therefore, I think they could just take judicial notice of it.

You indicated they would take administrative notice of just the orders in those cases and then somehow the discussion got on to calling that as Exhibit 12. I don't know how we ever got to that point because I never offered any paper on it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I'm just trying to make a clearer record for Board where they can cite to say Exhibit
No. 12 regarding the PCB 95, 96. I mean, if that's the case, it's kind of six of one, half dozen of the other as far as taking

1	official notice of our own cases.
2	MR. HOPP: Well, and I agree that they
3	can certainly take judicial notice of their
4	own cases, but I still have the same
5	heartburn about an exhibit that I don't know
6	the extent of. And, therefore, if the
7	Hearing Officer would like to admit it as
8	Exhibit 12, I'd like it to be shown over
9	Yorkville's objection.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Would you
11	like me to just strike Exhibit No. 12 and
12	just take judicial notice
13	MR. HOPP: I would prefer it that way.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: of the
15	PCB 95, 96?
16	MR. MUELLER: I have no objection to
17	that way of receiving it.
18	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. So
19	Fox Moraine has no Exhibit No. 12. I'm just
20	going to refer to it as taking official
21	notice of 8-95 and 8-96 of the Pollution
22	Control Board's orders and rulings.
23	Have I missed anything?
24	MR. MUELLER: We've got other

1	exhibits.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
3	MR. DOMBROWSKI: We missed No. 32, I
4	believe.
5	MR. MUELLER: I'm actually at 18 and
6	19. I think we missed those, also. I can
7	tender them right now.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
9	MR. MUELLER: Exhibit 18 was the
10	transcript of the city council deliberations
11	of May 23rd 2007. That's previously been
12	made part of the record as actually part of
13	the decision in this case, I believe. And it
14	was marked as an exhibit solely for
15	convenience and referencing it.
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And
17	I have down here in my cryptic notes admitted
18	without objection.
19	MR. HOPP: That's correct.
20	MR. MUELLER: And I've got that, your
21	Honor.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. MUELLER: And Exhibit No. 19 is

		-
1		the transcript of the city council
2		deliberations on May 24th, 2007. And the
, 3		story on that one is exactly the same as 18.
4		MR. HOPP: Same. No objection.
5		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
6		Admitted. Anything else, Mr. Mueller?
7		MR. MUELLER: I think we've got I'm
8		looking to see if there's a couple more. I
9		know that 32 is still out there.
10		MR. DOMBROWSKI: 32, I think that was
11		a
12		MR. MUELLER: The police report.
13		MR. DOMBROWSKI: copy of the siting
14		ordinance.
15		MR. MUELLER: No. That was 31,
16		Mr. Dombrowski. Thirty-two is the police
17		report that Alderman Besco used to refresh
18		his recollection about the call that was made
19		to his home. And we would offer that.
20		MR. HOPP: And we would object,
21		Mr. Hearing Officer, it's not real evidence.
22	÷	There's no reason to admit it. His
23		recollection actually was refreshed and he
24		testified about the events.

	1490 30
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
2	Mr. Mueller?
3	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Dombrowski's
4	statement is correct, so I'm offering it just
5	so that the Board has it in case they want to
6	see what the witness used to refresh his
7	recollection.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: As with the
9	newspaper articles, I will accept it on that
10	basis, offer of proof to assist the Board.
11	And that was Exhibit
12	MR. MUELLER: Thirty-two.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. MUELLER: I think that's all of
16	them, Mr. Halloran.
17	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
18	Anything else before we go off the record to
19	talk about briefing schedules?
20	MS. VINEYARD: I'm sorry, I came late.
21	Is it possible I could make a statement? It
22	would be short.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Certainly.
24	Take your time. Come on up. You made it in

	•
1	the nick of time.
2	MS. VINEYARD: I called and they said
3	it was going on until noon.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you wan
5	to make an oral statement under oath or just
6	a public comment?
7	MS. VINEYARD: Just public comment.
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Jus
9	state your name and spell it for the court
10	reporter.
11	MS. VINEYARD: Chrisi Vineyard,
12	C-H-R-I-S-I, V-I-N-E-Y-A-R-D. I live in
13	Oswego, Illinois.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
15	MS. VINEYARD: I hope the Pollution
16	Control Board will recognize that the bottom
17	line the aldermen and mayor took their vote
18	very seriously and based their vote on the
19	Fox Moraine landfill because they failed to
20	meet all nine criteria based on all the
21	evidence at the hearing.
22	The statement that Alderman Robyn
23	Sutcliffe is not cooperative on the stand,
24	for the record, Alderman Sutcliffe cannot

1	hear or see from one side.
2	The questions were not clear.
3	Please reconsider that statement. This
4	hearing is nothing more than an attempt by
5	the attorneys to influence you by twisting
6	their intentions on their vote.
7	If you are against murder, should
8	you not be on the jury for a murder case?
9	Attorneys are experts at twisting
10	the opposite point of view to their own, ever
11	when they are arguing against common sense
12	and logic. Only a well-trained attorney can
13	make common sense and a desire to protect our
14	environment sound sinister. Please do not
15	let the corporate drive for profit influence
16	your decision.
17	We do have alternatives to
18	landfills. Now is the time to put a stop to
19	an outdated system that poisons our drinking
20	water and wrecks the property around it.
21	Some of their experts were not
22	credible during the hearing because they
23	would not recognize there are alternatives to

landfills that are available today. Thank

24

1	you.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
3	Anyone else? We're going to go off the
4	record briefly. Counsel is going to discuss
5	post-hearing briefing schedules and we'll
6	come back on in a few minutes. Thank you.
7	(Brief pause.)
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back
9	on the record. We've discussed some
10	post-hearing briefing schedules. We've
11	discerned that the transcript will be ready
12	on our website by May 6th, 2009.
13	Fox Moraine's opening brief will
14	be due June 12th. The amicus brief and
15	Kendall County's brief is due June 26th.
16	Yorkville's opening brief is due
17	July 17th. Fox Moraine's reply, if any, is
18	due July 31st.
19	I set public comment date due
20	June 5th, 2009.
21	The record will close then on
22	July 31st.
23	And, Mr. Mueller, regarding the
24	waiver of the decision deadline?

1	MR. MUELLER: Fox Moraine would waive
2	the decision deadline to and including
3	October 1st, 2009. And we will follow this
4	statement with a letter to the clerk of the
5	board to that effect.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
7	So the waiver will be extended out to
8	October 1st, 2009, and you'll get a written
9	waiver in. Anything else we need to talk
10	about?
11	MR. MUELLER: I've those exhibits now,
12	Mr. Halloran, that I've pulled apart.
13	There's not much left on 10 and 11.
14	(Brief pause.)
15	MR. HOPP: Mr. Hearing Officer, the
16	what's now comprised as Exhibits 11 and 12
17	does appear to be just court orders and I
18	think on 11 there's a half sheet that's a
19	docket sheet. We renew our objection to
20	these. We don't think they're relevant nor
21	are they probative of anything and so we're
22	not withdrawing our objection to these
23	exhibits.
24	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Hopp misspoke when

	1450 01
1	he said 11 and 12. I think he meant to say
2	10 and 11.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I haven't
4	seen them. Mr. Mueller, on this Fox
5	Moraine's Exhibit No. 10, you have the orders
6	entered, this I guess docket sheet. This is
7	not an order or a ruling.
8	MR. MUELLER: The docket sheet
9	contains a note of the dismissal. There is
10	no written order of it.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You
12	know, it's close enough. I will take, over
13	objection obviously, Fox Moraine's Exhibit
14	No. 10. I took official notice of the
15	circuit court's ruling in number 07 0V 43.
16	And Fox Moraine's Exhibit No. 11,
17	it appears there is just court orders in
18	here, which I have agreed to accept as
19	official notice.
20	Over objection, Fox Moraine's
21	Exhibit No. 11 is taken.
22	Is that it now?
23	MR. HARKNESS: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
24	think on behalf of both the County as

	_
1	intervenor and also the amicus brief, if we
2	could have those briefs and submissions due
3	on the same date, July 17th, as Yorkville's,
4	we may be able to streamline some of the
5	statements of fact.
6	And I've spoken with counsel for
7	both parties. If they have no objection, we
8	would amend that one submission to July 17th
9	rather than June 26th. That would not affect
10	the reply date of the petitioner of July
11	31st.
12	MR. KNIPPEN: And I would join in
13	that, your Honor.
14	MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, in the
15	past when I've represented an amicus and that
16	amicus has been aligned with a respondent,
17	the Board was kind enough to let me file my
18	brief at the same time as the respondent's
19	brief was due, so I guess I have no
20	objection.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
22	Thank you, Mr. Mueller. I appreciate it.
23	So the County's brief and the
24	amicus brief is now due July 17th.

1	I want to thank all of counsel for
2	their civility and professionalism. It's
3	been a pleasure. I want to thank the public
4	and I want to thank the City of Yorkville for
5	their accommodations and hospitality. It's
6	been great. It's great weather out, so go
7	out and enjoy and have a great one. Thank
8	you.
9	(Which were all the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled cause
11	on this date.)
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	1030
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS.
2	COUNTY OF WILL)
3	
4	I, Tamara Manganiello, CSR, RPR, do hereby
5	certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings
6	held in the foregoing cause, and that the foregoing
7	is a true, complete and correct transcript of the
8	proceedings as appears from my stenographic notes so
9	taken and transcribed under my personal direction.
10	
11	Tumar mungunelle
1.0	TAMARA MANGANIELLO, CSR, RPR
12	License No. 084-004560
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this day
21	of April A.D., 2009.
22	Notary Public
23	
24	OFFICIAL SEAL DIANA LEVERSO Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Aug 17, 2009

A A	affiliation 38:12	amend 62:8	32:17 34:6,21	attempts 31:21
able 62:4	after 3:21 24:23	Amendment	35:2,14,15	attorney 45:24
	30:7 38:2	33:8 34:2	37:5 39:5	46:5 58:12
about 14:14	after-the-fact	amicus 4:1	43:14,18 46:12	attorneys 18:21
16:10 17:4,14	34:19	59:14 62:1,15	46:16,20	31:15 32:8,9
22:15,24 29:6	again 3:6,10,14	62:16,24	apply 32:6	33:23 58:5,9
35:9,11,14	13:22 20:18	ample 35:22	approciate	audience 34:18
39:11 49:24	21:14 23:19	ANDERSON	39:13 50:12	39:12
52:3 53:5	24:24 39:1	2:6	62:22	Aurora 16:14
55:18,24 56:19	43:15 47:6,13	and/or 34:14	approached	available 58:24
60:10	47:22 48:19	annexation	12:10	aware 17:5,23
above-entitled	49:4	32:22 33:10,15	appropriate	A.D 1:16 64:20
63:10	against 16:5	35:9	23:12 24:6,11	a.m 1:15 3:13
abridge 33:18	18:12 30:7	another 44:15	approval 33:1	a.m 1.13 3.13
accept 8:23	37:7,23 58:7	44:18 45:9	34:20 46:15	В
48:12,21 49:3	58:11	46:13,19,21	approval/denial	back 20:14
56:9 61:18	ago 6:4	47:15	46:22	27:13 38:16
accommodati	agree 53:2	answer 13:18	approximately	59:6,8
63:5	agreed 61:18	17:18 19:23	3:12 5:4,7,18	backroom 38:17
accompli 33:1	agreement 7:7,9	37:13	6:3 27:10	bad 35:10
accomplished	7:10,11 8:2	answered 7:15	April 1:16 3:11	based 24:6,10,14
36:2	Alderman 17:5	13:15 17:15	3:11,12 11:6	25:16,19,20
accordingly	17:23 18:6,8	Anthony 2:13	15:17,18 16:1	35:17 36:4
25:10	37:10,11 55:17	19:3	16:24 43:22	57:18,20
accounting 8:11	57:22,24	anyone 7:4	50:23	basically 38:17
accusations 32:6	aldermanic	19:11 28:3	area 4:24 5:6	basis 51:6 56:10
across 38:21	11:14	34:5 36:16	29:11	Bates 10:6 44:12
actual 50:13	aldermen 17:5	39:10 59:3	arguing 58:11	46:16,20,23
actually 20:24	17:10 57:17	anything 25:24	argument 27:9	Beacon 15:6
28:11 32:1	alert 16:13	32:15 35:14	42:11	16:14 38:14
51:18 54:5,12	aligned 62:16	53:23 55:6	around 24:21	49:11 50:24
55:23	alleges 33:4	56:18 60:9,21	58:20	bears 10:6
additional 12:7	40:19	apart 50:1,9	Art 11:10	became 29:23
address 48:14	ALLEN 2:11	60:12	article 15:16,24	become 14:19
adequate 25:12	allow 17:17	appeal 10:5 32:6	16:3,10 43:22	Beecher 26:24
25:23	21:23 23:17	appear 60:17	45:7,10 49:7	28:8
administrative	25:7 29:21	Appeared 2:20	49:11 50:24	beers 38:11
48:10 49:1 52:14	51:22	appearing 38:18	articles 56:9	before 1:12
	allowed 28:1	appears 61:17	asked 7:15	14:15 15:17,17
admit 53:7 55:22	48:10	64:8	12:21 13:1,10	18:4,20 20:2
admitted 39:24	allowing 29:11	appellate 36:9	13:20,24 20:24	20:16 31:11
41:11 54:17	39:8	applicant 34:16	asking 13:24	32:16 40:8
55:6	alone 29:9,17	application 8:6	51:19	51:21 56:18
i .	36:5	8:13 10:11,17	assist 43:24 45:8	64:20
admitting 33:5 advice 7:23 20:4	along 7:24 31:20	11:1,4,10,14	51:10 56:10	begin 29:8
advice 7:23 20:4 advisement	already 41:13	11:18,22,24	assume 28:12,21	beginning 13:7
40:13	alternatives	12:4,24 14:10	attack 31:16	37:20
Advisor 45:4	58:17,23	14:17 17:6,24	attempt 31:15	behalf 2:9,14,20
affect 62:9	always 29:2 31:7	18:5,9 32:13	33:17 58:4	8:2,6 50:11
alleet 02.7				61:24

		1	•	1
behind 7:13	briefly 20:17	certainly 33:16	18:2,11	23:16
behold 38:3	59:4	53:3 56:23	cleaner 50:6,15	comprised 60:16
being 26:24	briefs 3:20,24	certify 64:5	clear 22:21 58:2	concern 16:18
32:22 34:10	42:12,15 62:2	change 25:15	clearer 52:20	16:20 32:21
beliefs 35:9	Bristol 38:2,8	changed 6:18,21	clearly 25:2	38:17,20
believe 24:8,10	brought 25:22	changing 29:10	clerk 43:19 60:4	concerned 35:20
32:15 33:24	buck 8:19	charge 8:20	close 31:12	concerning
34:11 44:11,18	bucket 24:17,18	Charlie 16:9	59:21 61:12	26:23
45:10 48:9,19	24:19,20	chartered 31:18	closed 23:6,10	conclusion
54:4,13	Burd 40:10	check 8:5 9:3	25:7	16:16 18:4,14
beneficiaries 7:1	burden 17:2	10:9 43:16	closely 29:19	19:14
Besco 55:17	Burnham 16:21	checking 8:9	closing 42:11,13	conditions 46:15
best 12:9 22:16	business 7:21,22	checks 8:10	clue 32:1	confidence 20:6
22:18,24		Chicago 2:4,12	collect 24:16	consider 50:4
better 30:5	C	chief 3:22	come 13:3 21:9	consideration
betterment	C 2:1	choice 21:14	21:14 29:11	36:12
31:18	call 4:7 55:18	choose 4:3	31:12 56:24	considered
between 43:9	called 4:14 6:15	chose 14:13	59:6	31:11
bias 19:11	16:9 57:2	chosen 23:13	comes 8:9	consisting 32:11
biased 16:5,8,17	calling 52:16	Chrisi 57:11	comfortable	consists 32:4
16:19 17:8,13	calls 19:13	Chuck 44:24	26:14	constituents
17:14 18:2,6	came 15:18	circuit 48:18	coming 4:1	37:9
18:12 27:6	25:12 56:20	61:15	32:17 37:22	Constitution
big 14:19	campaign 44:2	circumstance	commencing	34:1,7
board 1:1 2:2	campaigns	35:13	1:15	contains 61:9
3:4,17 25:9	11:22 14:20	citations 41:6,6	comment 4:2	content 51:24
29:9,17,20	candidates	41:8,10	20:22 21:9,17	contentious
48:24 49:2	11:23 15:20	cite 52:21	23:11,22 26:8	14:19
51:10 52:6,21	16:4,17 49:12	cities 14:22	26:9 29:6	contest 37:21
56:5,10 57:16	51:1	citizens 28:21	30:24 31:1,11	continual 31:20
60:5 62:17	capital 36:23	29:1,14 32:23	36:18,19 39:2	continually
Board's 52:10	card 43:12	33:5,7,19	39:8 57:6,7	31:15 32:10
53:22	45:19	citizen's 33:15	59:19	control 1:1 2:2
both 15:13	care 42:10	city 1:6,7 2:14	comments 26:21	3:4 37:4 48:24
61:24 62:7	careful 36:11	3:7,8 8:13	30:3,19 36:12	53:22 57:16
bother 16:12	Carol 7:3	10:10,18 11:5	39:13	controversial
bottom 12:17,19	case 3:5,16,22	14:19 19:4	commission	14:20 15:2
13:5 24:18,20	10:5 21:1	27:21 29:12	47:1	convenience
44:13 46:17,20	33:13 37:8	30:3,4 35:13	common 58:11	54:15
46:23 57:16	40:2 46:1,7	35:15 37:16	58:13	convince 32:14
bought 6:23	48:18 52:7,23	38:18,24 39:7	commonly 32:3	cooperative
box 29:18	54:13 56:5	41:10,14 43:13	communicatio	57:23
Bradley 2:5 3:2	58:8	43:19 47:2,9,9	33:4	copies 52:9
brief 4:1 59:7,13	cases 52:2,3,15	47:23 48:1	community 27:4	copy 43:12 45:1
59:14,15,16	53:1,4	54:10 55:1	31:19 32:10,12	55:13
60:14 62:1,18	cast 34:10	63:4	35:2,7 36:3	core 33:21
62:19,23,24	cause 38:23	civility 63:2	complete 48:7	corporate 58:15
briefing 3:19,23	63:10 64:6	claim 23:21	64:7	corporation
56:19 59:5,10	Center 26:24	claiming 17:8,12	completely	31:17,24
55.17 57.5,10	28:8		- Jampacoa,	
				<u> </u>
Definition of the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter with the latter was a second or the latter was a	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	Market and the Control of the Contro	SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T

	1	l –	1	I
correct 4:22	40:22	54:13 58:16	28:17,23,24	Dusell 36:19,20
5:12,24 6:6,7	credible 58:22	59:24 60:2	DIXON 2:11	36:23 37:1
6:14 7:8 8:3,7	criteria 23:7	defined 43:12	docket 60:19	D-U 36:23
8:14 10:14,15	35:18,23 57:20	deliberations	61:6,8	
10:20,21,24	criterion 23:9	54:10 55:2	document 9:5	E
11:3,7,15,19	23:15 25:2	deliberative	9:17 44:21	E 2:1,1
12:1,5 13:1,11	cross 19:7 21:16	43:6	46:9,10,14,19	each 27:16
13:21 14:1,4,5	21:21,23	delivered 10:18	46:21 47:15	earlier 12:5,24
14:7,11,21	crowd 22:9	15:11	50:4	edition 16:14
15:4,14,22,23	28:21	denial 46:11,19	dollars 6:20	educational 27:4
16:6 18:6,15	cryptic 54:17	denied 36:4	Dombrowski	effect 60:5
18:16 25:13	CSR 64:4,11	deny 25:24	2:13 4:5,6,17	efforts 31:16,21
30:18 40:17	current 34:12	35:16 36:6,7	8:22,23 9:1,10	eight 11:14
54:19 56:4	35:15	department	9:13,22 10:1,3	elect 39:6
64:7	currently 31:7	8:11	17:22 18:17,20	elected 33:8,20
corruption	custom 40:24	deposition 12:12	19:3,13,20	37:7,15
30:10	cyberspace	12:14,15,16	20:10,11 21:2	election 11:15
council 1:7 3:8	51:20	depositioned	39:14,17 40:12	11:22 14:20
22:22 24:5,10	C-H-R-I-S-I	34:13	41:5,15 42:17	45:20
25:21 27:21	57:12	design 22:24	42:18 51:4,14	elections 11:6
35:13,15 37:17	C00890 10:6	23:3 25:16	54:3 55:10,13	14:15 15:17
39:7 41:10	C00891 10:7	desire 58:13	55:16	45:13
43:13 47:9,23		determination	Dombrowski's	electorates 37:8
48:1,4 54:10	D	3:16	56:3	emphasized
55:1	daily 15:11	determine 22:12	Don 29:13,19	20:2
counsel 9:14	date 44:13 59:19	developed 27:3	Donald 4:8,13	engineering
59:4 62:6 63:1	62:3,10 63:11	different 19:21	4:20 7:3	12:8 22:21
Counsels 43:2	dated 43:19,22	difficulty 51:15	done 12:9 50:9	25:15
county 1:9 2:20	44:4,15,19	DIRECT 4:16	doubt 25:17	enjoy 63:7
3:9 16:14 19:1	45:7,10 48:2,5	direction 64:9	doubts 25:19	enough 19:10,15
29:9,10,16,20	David 30:16	disagree 40:23	down 20:13 26:6	19:18 29:21
30:1 42:8,20	day 1:16 3:5	disappointed	38:11 49:7	61:12 62:17
43:10 45:2	13:11 14:6	27:5	54:17	entails 52:4
48:8 61:24	16:1,9,10,12	discerned 59:11	downstream	entered 61:6
64:2	16:24 64:20	disclosure 44:2	24:22	entire 25:18
County's 43:8	days 15:17	discredit 31:21	dozen 52:24	50:3
59:15 62:23	28:15 29:4	discuss 20:17	drinking 58:19	entirely 20:1
couple 19:5	30:9	37:14 59:4	drive 2:11 58:15	entirety 50:1
26:20 55:8	deadline 59:24	discussed 16:16	due 49:10,13	entitled 3:6
course 49:11,14	60:2	48:6 59:9	59:14,15,16,18	45:12,23 46:4
court 36:9 48:7	deal 30:5 45:12	discussion 21:11	59:19 62:2,19	46:14 50:24
48:11,19,20	deals 38:17 40:8	39:2 52:15	62:24	entity 6:15
57:9 60:17	debacle 29:15	dismissal 61:9	duly 4:14	environment
61:17	December 11:2	display 27:14,23	during 14:20	58:14
court's 61:15	11:5 12:10,24	displayed 27:3	22:14 24:4,7	especially 30:13
cover 8:12	14:10,14 43:19	displays 27:10	25:12,22 29:4	established
create 29:13	decision 19:12	27:13,22	32:16 33:15	31:18
33:17	19:17 24:6,12	disqualify 19:11	35:13 48:6	Etna 2:7
credibility 40:9	25:13,20 35:16	disruptive 28:16	58:22	even 29:7 58:10
	36:6,7,10		00.22	events 55:24
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The second secon	THE RESERVE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

ever 52:17	experts 58:9,21	39:3	44:17,20,23	36:14,15
every 27:16 35:4	explain 52:6	finding 33:17	45:3,6,9,18,21	give 21:8 23:12
35:4	express 35:3	40:9,21 41:2	46:2,8,10,13	26:7 38:6
everyone 3:2	expressions	fine 24:2 42:8	46:18,22,24	giving 30:17
everything	49:12	finished 48:15	47:5,8,12,15	glad 20:13 21:23
32:13 34:5	extended 60:7	first 4:14 13:15	47:18,21,24	go 7:24 20:17
evidence 25:3	extent 23:13	29:8 31:13	48:3,16,18,23	21:19 40:8
39:18 41:12	53:6	33:8 34:2 43:3	49:5 51:12	42:23 43:3
55:21 57:21	E-L-L 36:23	45:23	53:19 57:19	49:16 56:18
evidenced 30:10		five 5:4 32:4,17	59:13,17 60:1	59:3 63:6
evident 24:5	<u> </u>	flaw 23:2	61:4,13,16,20	going 23:4,14
ex 33:4	F 2:18	flawed 37:5,14	frankly 35:10	24:24 29:24
exactly 55:3	facility 25:18	39:3	Fred 36:20	37:17,17 38:16
examination	fact 22:16 25:13	FOGY 28:13,23	free 23:18	38:22 41:1
4:16 19:7	36:5 42:14	29:2 32:9 33:6	freedom 34:2,8	42:11,23 43:3
21:16	62:5	34:5,11,13	35:11	49:10 50:18,21
examined 4:15	facts 24:10	35:7,12	friends 28:4,15	53:20 57:3
example 8:2	25:22 36:4	FOGY's 32:21	31:8,16 32:2	59:3,4
37:6	failed 57:19	folks 38:13	32:18	Goliath 30:17
exception 41:9	fair 7:12	follow 60:3	from 5:3 10:5	Golinski 17:5
exchanged 6:20	fairness 22:13	following 16:23	12:4,16,23	37:10 38:16,21
exercise 33:8	faith 19:10	follows 4:15	13:23 14:10	good 3:1 4:9
exhibit 9:6,12,18	family 5:21 28:4	foregoing 64:6,6	18:23 22:20	19:10 20:3,14
9:24 10:6,7,7	far 5:3 12:3	foremost 29:8	24:16 26:16,24	26:18 27:12
10:16 41:18,21	51:12 52:24	forget 18:20	27:8,19 28:7	government
41:24 42:3	Farm 1:14	29:14	28:11 32:17,20	30:4 35:8
43:5,8,11,16	farther 32:19	forgive 43:2	37:17,22 38:19	Grand 37:24
43:17,21 44:1	fate 33:1	formed 6:2,5	44:8,11,15,18	granted 34:20
44:5,7,8,10,14	fault 30:6	forms 6:9	44:21,24 45:19	grapes 34:23
44:17,20,23	fee 8:6 10:11,12	forth 31:21	48:4 58:1 64:8	great 31:4 63:6
45:3,6,9,18,21	29:16	35:18,24	full 4:18	63:6,7
46:2,8,11,13	feel 23:18 25:5	Fortunately	functions 27:4	Greater 28:15
46:18,23,24	26:14	36:3	fundamental	31:8,16 32:2
47:5,8,12,16	fees 30:1 46:1,6	forward 32:12	22:12 33:21	32:19
47:18,21,24	fellow 38:3	35:3	fundamentally	Grote 5:23,24
48:3,16,23	few 30:11 31:9	four 11:14	32:23	6:23 8:9
49:6,18 50:13	59:6	four-and-a-half	further 18:17	group 32:3
51:13,18,20	file 3:24 4:2	14:14	20:8,11 40:8	guess 4:4 45:4
52:1,16,21	14:13 40:7	Fox 1:3 2:9 3:6	future 27:15	49:14 61:6
53:5,8,11,19	42:14 46:6	5:8,10,15 6:2,5	36:10 39:5	62:19
54:9,14,24	48:7 62:17	6:10 7:7,13	G	<u>H</u>
56:11 61:5,13	filed 3:20 11:1,4	10:17,23 12:23		
61:16,21	11:9,13,21 14:17 28:5	19:2 21:3	G 2:13	half 52:24 60:18
exhibits 20:18		31:14 32:4,7,8	Game 1:14	hall 38:18
39:14,24 43:1	41:13	33:3,23 34:17	games 30:8	Halloran 2:5 3:1
54:1 60:11,16	filing 12:4,23 14:10	35:1,6,17,21	Gary 38:3,16,21	3:3 4:9 7:18
60:23	final 29:6	38:20 40:19	George 2:8 19:2 31:6	8:17,21 9:15
expert 23:15,22	find 13:2 33:22	43:5,9,18,21	getting 51:17	17:16,17 18:19
25:8	34:9 37:20	44:1,5,7,10,14	,	19:22 20:9,12 20:16,23 21:3
	J7.7 31.40		Gilson 31:1,6,7	20.10,23 21:3

	ii ii	1	l .	1
21:6,13,20	hauler 5:24	33:19 37:19	inappropriate	J 4:13,20 7:3
22:1,8 23:4,17	having 4:14	hearsay 51:7	23:16	James 2:18,19
23:23 25:1,4,6	health 20:14	heartburn 53:5	include 3:23	18:24,24
26:2,5,10,13	hear 31:5 34:18	held 11:18 47:13	including 60:2	January 44:16
30:20,23 31:3	58:1	47:20,22 64:6	Incorrect 26:22	44:19,22 48:5
36:13,16,21,24	heard 30:3	Hello 31:6	indicated 16:7	Joe 16:4
39:9,19,23	37:18	help 8:18	16:18 25:15	Johnson 26:9,12
40:3,5,11,18	hearing 2:5 3:1	higher 30:1	52:13	26:16,16,19
41:17,22 42:2	3:3,10,15 4:7,9	him 16:12,13	indicating 13:5	30:22
42:4,7,16,19	7:18 8:21 9:14	17:2 21:23	individual 31:24	join 38:8 62:12
42:22 45:16	9:15 10:2	23:12,18	individuals	judicial 52:7,11
49:9,13,17,20	17:17 18:18,19	himself 38:5	32:18	53:3,12
50:5,10,17,21	19:22 20:9,12	holding 11:6	Industries 5:23	July 59:17,18,22
51:3,8 52:5,19	20:16,19,23	home 55:19	6:23 8:9	62:3,8,10,24
53:10,14,18	21:3,6,13,20	Honor 50:12	influence 58:5	June 44:5 59:14
54:2,8,16,22	22:1,8,12,14	54:21 62:13	58:15	59:15,20 62:9
55:5 56:1,8,13	23:10,17,23	hope 20:6 39:4	information	jury 58:8
56:16,17,23	24:4 25:4,6,23	57:15	19:10,15,19	just 8:1 13:24
57:4,8,14 59:2	26:2,5,10,13	Hopp 2:13 4:5	23:8 26:22	17:14 18:20
59:8 60:6,12	27:1,18,19,20	19:3 20:19	27:7,8,22	19:5 21:16
61:3,11 62:14	28:4,9 30:20	40:12,17 45:15	initial 10:12	22:9 23:22
62:21	30:23 31:3	50:11 51:3,5	instead 27:2	25:5 26:14
Hamman 4:8,10	33:2,11 36:13	51:14,15 53:2	28:24	36:18,19 39:15
4:13,20,21 7:3	36:16,21,24	53:13 54:19	instructed 27:20	40:19 50:6,15
7:6 8:19 9:3,11	37:3 38:1,2,5	55:4,20 60:15	intends 23:8	50:16 52:1,11
10:8 12:3,12	39:9,18,19,23	60:24	intentions 58:6	52:14,20 53:11
15:5 19:6 20:7	40:5,9,11,18	hospitality 63:5	interest 5:14,17	53:12,19 56:4
20:13 22:17	40:20,20 41:2	host 7:6,10,11	5:19	57:5,7,8 60:17
29:13,19,24	41:7,12,16,17	8:2	interesting	61:17
30:6 48:9	41:22,23 42:2	housekeeping	31:10 33:22	justify 20:6
hand 9:23 10:18	42:4,7,16,19	42:24	34:9	
22:2 45:11	42:22 43:4	huge 23:2 27:14	interfere 33:14	K
48:13	44:21 45:16	hungry 29:16	interpose 23:5	Kankakee 30:13
handed 39:15	48:7 49:13,17	hypocritical	interpreted	Karafeat 38:4
Handing 12:15	49:20 50:5,10	37:20	33:14	keep 22:10
hands 6:20	50:17,21 51:3		interrupt 20:20	Kendall 1:9 2:20
happen 38:18	51:8,16 52:19	I	intervenor 1:10	3:9 16:14 19:1
39:2 51:21,22	53:7,10,14,18	identification	2:20 3:9 19:1	29:8,20 42:8
happened 37:24	54:2,8,16,22	9:8,20	62:1	42:20 43:8,10
38:7	55:5,21 56:1,8	illegal 35:11	introduce 18:21	45:2 48:8
happening	56:13,17,23	Illinois 1:1,15	invoice 44:4	59:15
11:23	57:4,8,14,21	2:2,4,7,12,17	ironic 33:3	Key 45:12
hard 52:8	58:4,22 59:2,8	3:4 4:22 22:19	37:20	kind 24:13,15
Harkness 2:19	60:6,15 61:3	30:9 37:2	irritated 28:20	52:23 62:17
18:24 42:21	61:11,23 62:21	47:10 57:13	issue 14:20 15:2	knew 11:5,9,13
61:23	hearings 3:5	64:1	37:14 38:15	11:17,21 14:18
harm 34:4	11:17,24 22:13	inaccuracies	issuing 8:10	14:24
HARROLD	24:7 25:12	34:15	it'd 23:11	KNIPPEN
2:11	28:18 29:5,7	inaccurately		62:12
	•	34:10	J	know 12:3,22
				l

	1		•	
13:15,21 14:3	legal 19:14	25:5,11	55:2 59:12	3:6 5:9,10,15
14:12 18:8	33:21 38:24	L-U-D-W-I-K	62:4	6:2,5,10 7:7,13
25:14 32:9	Leo 2:13 19:3	22:6	mayor 11:10	10:18,23 12:23
36:9 41:23	Leslie 17:23	L.L.C 1:3 2:9,16	38:19 44:3	19:2 21:4 32:4
42:9 51:24	18:6	3:7 5:9,10 6:2	57:17	32:7,8 33:3
52:3,17 53:5	let 9:11,23 12:14	6:5,11,24 7:13	McCluskey 2:16	34:17 35:1,6
55:9 61:12	17:4 58:15	8:3,7 12:23	2:18 18:24	35:18,21 38:20
	62:17	L.L.P 2:11	mean 6:22 7:9	40:19 43:10,18
<u>L</u>	letter 8:12,15		52:22	45:6 47:15
L 48:19	9:3 43:19	<u> </u>	meant 61:1	48:18 53:19
lack 35:17	44:24 45:1,4	made 22:21 24:5	meet 35:18,23	57:19 60:1
landfill 6:6,9	60:4	24:12 30:14	57:20	Moraine's 31:14
11:18,24 12:9	License 64:12	34:4 35:16	meeting 27:16	33:23 43:5,21
12:24 14:18,22	lifelong 5:5	54:12 55:18	47:1,3,7,9,19	44:1,5,8,10,14
15:21 16:6	light 42:14	56:24	47:23 48:2,5	44:17,20,23
17:6 18:12	like 4:7 9:2	main 7:13	meetings 41:10	45:3,9,18,21
22:16,18 24:14	20:22 21:8	make 19:10,17	member 5:11	46:2,8,11,13
24:16,21,23	24:13,15 26:7	20:22 21:15	7:7 10:22	46:18,22,24
25:24 27:7,15	30:16 34:23	25:13 26:20	20:21	47:5,8,12,18
27:15,23 28:5	35:1,6 39:12	31:15 32:18	members 3:17	47:21,24 48:3
28:17,19 29:3	39:17 41:15	40:3,21 42:10	21:7 22:22	48:16,23 49:6
29:7,9,15,22	53:7,8,11	50:2 52:20	24:9 25:21	51:12 59:13,17
30:2,13,15	likely 17:1	56:21 57:5	28:12,22 29:2	61:5,13,16,20
31:22 32:13,16	Likewise 20:15	58:13	31:24 32:1,4	more 17:18 25:8
33:2 34:6 35:2	line 12:17,19	making 3:15	34:11,12 35:7	26:14 30:12
35:10,16 36:4	13:8,13 57:17	management	39:11 43:13	42:8 55:8 58:4
36:6,8 37:23	Lisle 2:17	7:20,23 13:23	merely 51:10	morning 3:2
38:14 43:18	little 17:18	19:12 20:1	mess 29:13	4:10 22:17
45:12 50:24	23:18 25:7	38:19	micromanaged	26:18
57:19	43:4	manager 5:10	7:22	most 17:1 30:8
landfills 27:12	live 4:23 57:12	10:22	might 20:21	30:12,12 32:5
29:11 58:18,24	lived 5:1 26:19	managing 5:11	miles 5:4	motion 19:10
landfill's 27:17	lo 38:3	7:7	mind 25:17 31:2	40:7,13 41:20
lap 29:16	location 25:16	Manganiello	minute 42:5	45:24 46:5
large 8:10	logic 58:12	1:13 64:4,11	minutes 44:8	move 39:17
last 28:15 30:11	long 5:1 29:4	manner 32:23	59:6	moved 52:6
36:22	long-standing	many 29:4 32:11	missed 53:23	much 41:3 60:13
late 56:20 later 14:10	34:12	March 41:9,12	54:3,6	Mueller 2:6,8
23:19	look 40:15	mark 26:16,18 51:19	misspoke 60:24	7:14 8:17 10:2
law 33:13 49:8	looking 55:8	marked 9:5,12	mistaken 28:24	17:15 19:2,5,8
lawsuit 48:8	looks 39:12	9:17,24 54:14	MOMKUS 2:16	19:16 20:8
lawyer 27:17	lot 34:22,23	matter 10:12	Monday 16:24	21:5,22 23:4
least 10:12	loud 13:18	12:12	17:1,2	23:19 24:24
leave 19:11,17	loudly 26:15	matters 42:24	monitoring	26:3,4 37:21
led 32:13	31:4	may 18:5,15	24:14,21,22	38:8 39:20,21
leeway 23:12,18	love 26:20	19:22 20:13	25:16	40:3,6,7 41:5,8
25:8	Ludwikowski	23:19 26:5	months 14:14	41:18,20 42:1
left 3:17 18:23	21:19,22 22:5	41:18 44:9	29:20	42:6,10,13
20:1,4 60:13	22:11 23:21	45:12 54:11	Moraine 1:3 2:9	48:14 49:9,15
20.1,7 00.13		73.14 37.11		
part of the second seco				

		-	-	*****
49:19,22 50:8	Northstar 6:16	October 47:11	53:1,20 61:14	52:1,14 53:22
50:19,23 51:17	7:2	47:14,16 60:3	61:19	60:17 61:5,17
52:5 53:16,24	Nos 43:16	60:8	officials 33:20	ordinance 46:9
54:5,9,20,24	Notary 1:14	off 20:17 21:12	37:7	55:14
55:6,7,12,15	64:22	56:18 59:3	Oh 7:11	ordinary 29:1
56:2,3,12,15	note 20:20 61:9	offer 23:8,14	Okay 13:6 21:3	original 22:13
59:23 60:1,11	notes 54:17 64:8	43:6,23 44:24	21:6,20 22:8	24:4
60:24 61:4,8	nothing 12:4,22	45:8,13,22	26:10 39:10,23	originally 52:6
62:14,22	13:20 14:9	46:3 49:10,17	39:24 41:17	Oswego 57:13
Munns 18:8	18:17 20:11	51:9 55:19	42:16 49:13,20	other 5:22 17:5
murder 58:7,8	33:12 35:11	56:10	50:10 51:8	17:10,13 27:8
Murphy 16:9	50:12 58:4	offered 51:2,6	52:19 53:18	28:14 30:7
must 13:2 22:1	notice 48:11,11	52:18	54:2,8,16,22	33:7 38:13
29:14,15	48:20 49:1	offering 56:4	55:5 57:8	50:22 52:1,24
myself 20:3	52:7,11,14	officer 2:5 3:1,3	61:11 62:21	53:24
	53:1,3,12,21	3:15 4:7,9 7:18	Once 13:22	others 14:23
N	61:14,19	8:21 9:14,15	one 9:13,14 10:1	51:9
N 2:1	noticing 40:19	10:2 17:17	30:7 34:18	Ottawa 2:7
name 3:2 4:18	notion 24:9	18:18,19 19:22	35:21 38:1	out 8:9 13:18
22:9 26:15,18	25:21	20:9,12,16,19	52:23 55:3	15:18 22:24
31:5,6 36:20	November 45:7	20:23 21:3,6	58:1 62:8 63:7	24:4 25:12,22
36:22 38:3	45:11 47:20,23	21:13,20 22:1	only 28:21 32:4	31:9 37:2,22
57:9	48:2	22:8 23:17,23	32:7,8,8 34:17	43:4 45:4
near 27:14	no-landfill 28:6	25:4,6 26:2,5	35:20 50:2,4	50:22 55:9
necessarily	number 3:5	26:10,13 27:1	58:12	60:7 63:6,7
27:12	15:10 37:9	27:18,20 30:20	opened 29:9	outcome 17:11
necessary 29:7	44:2 45:6,22	30:23 31:3	opening 29:18	outdated 58:19
need 41:4 60:9	46:1,2,9 48:8	36:13,16,21,24	59:13,16	outside 28:8
needs 35:20	61:15	39:9,18,19,23	opinion 37:4,23	over 22:21,22
negative 31:23	numbers 10:6	40:5,11,18,20	opinions 48:12	28:14 37:18
neglected 18:22	13:4 52:7	41:2,16,17,22	48:21	53:8 61:12,20
neighbors 27:13 neither 29:13	0	41:24 42:2,4,7	opportunity	Overruled 7:18
never 7:22 29:14	oath 14:6 21:15	42:16,19,22	23:1 30:18	17:18
34:13,13 51:21	ł	43:5 45:16	35:5,22	own 6:16 49:8
51:22 52:18	21:19 24:1 57:5	49:13,17,20	opposed 35:2	52:10 53:1,4
new 45:20	object 19:13	50:5,10,17,21	opposing 32:12	58:10
News 15:6 16:15	23:18 25:1	51:3,8,16	opposite 58:10	owned 30:4
38:14 50:24		52:19 53:7,10	opposition	38:13
newspaper	51:6,18 55:20 objection 23:5	53:14,18 54:2	27:23 28:16	ownership 5:14
43:22 45:7,10	39:22 51:23	54:8,16,22	31:22 32:3,11	5:17 6:18
49:7 56:9	53:9,16 54:18	55:5,21 56:1,8	32:15 34:6	owns 5:22 6:8
next 16:10,11	55:4 60:19,22	56:13,17,23	35:4 37:21	6:11
20:24	61:13,20 62:7	57:4,8,14 59:2	oral 21:8,15	P
nick 57:1	62:20	59:8 60:6,15	23:24 57:5	P 2:1,1,13
night 38:1	obvious 29:23	61:3,11,23	order 43:4 61:7	page 12:17,19
nine 57:20	obviously 40:14	62:21	61:10	13:3,4,5,13
non-for-profit	61:13	officer's 37:3	orders 48:24	44:11,15,18
31:17	occasions 37:10	40:9	49:2 50:2,4,6	45:23
noon 57:3	37:12	official 48:11,20	50:15,16 51:13	paint 31:23

1 42-12	17.1	04.11	10 10 00 15	50.11
palm 43:12	plan 47:1	24:11	18:12 22:15	59:11
45:19	Plano 4:22,23	president 31:8	protect 58:13	real 34:12 55:21
Pandora's 29:18	5:3	pressured 17:10	protested 27:18	realize 37:2
paper 15:11	Plano/Yorkville	prevented 12:4	prove 35:23	really 27:1
52:18	5:6	12:23 14:9	provide 27:8	reason 20:3
Paragrah 40:19	played 30:9	previously 51:2	provided 26:22	25:23 55:22
parked 28:8	playing 30:7	51:5 54:11	pro-landfill 38:7	rebuttal 21:4,5
part 41:13 50:13	pleading 45:23	printed 45:1,11	public 1:14 4:2	recall 8:8 15:24
52:9 54:12,12	46:3	prior 18:14 33:2	20:21 21:7,9	receive 16:13
parte 33:4	pleadings 48:13	38:12	21:17 23:11,22	20:20 50:3
participate	48:21 49:3	probably 16:11	23:24 26:7,9	receiving 53:17
33:19	please 4:19 13:3	17:9 43:12	30:24 31:1,10	recognize 57:16
participation	21:9 22:10	probative 60:21	33:19 36:18,19	58:23
33:9	31:5 58:3,14	proceed 23:20	39:11 44:21	recollection 7:5
parties 3:24	pleasure 63:3	proceedings	57:6,7 59:19	55:18,23 56:7
18:21 37:22	Plocher 16:4	1:12 31:12,14	63:3 64:22	recommend
40:1 42:14	point 6:15,19	38:22 47:3,6	publically 35:3	7:24
62:7	23:5 25:1 31:9	47:10,13,16,19	pull 50:1,8,22	recommendati
party 38:6	52:17 58:10	47:22 48:1,4	pulled 60:12	13:22
past 62:15	pointed 24:4	63:9 64:5,8	purport 23:14	recommended
pause 59:7	poisons 58:19	process 8:6	put 31:21 34:14	12:6
60:14	police 28:6	29:10 32:22	45:4 50:13	reconsider 58:3
pay 29:24 30:2	55:12,16	33:10,16,24	58:18	record 3:18 10:5
PCB 1:5 3:6	policy 29:10	35:9,17,19,24	puts 25:17	20:17 21:12
32:14 33:13,16	political 30:8	37:5 38:23	P.C 2:6	23:6,10 25:6
33:23 34:18	Pollution 1:1 2:2	39:5 43:7,14		30:19 31:10
35:20 46:1,7	3:4 48:24	Prochaska	Q	39:10 41:13
51:13 52:7,22	53:21 57:15	11:10 38:19	question 13:1,7	45:2 50:14
53:15	portrait 31:23	44:3	13:10 14:1	52:10,20 54:12
peace 21:18	position 50:16	Professional	17:12,16,19	56:18 57:24
people 20:3 27:6	positions 15:21	1:13	19:16,21	59:4,9,21
32:11 38:12	possible 14:18	professionalism	questionable	refer 12:16
39:6,6	56:21	63:2	38:22	53:20
per 26:24	possibly 14:24	profit 58:15	questions 20:8	referencing
percent 5:18,20	38:23	projects 7:23	26:4 37:13	54:15
5:22	post 7:9	proof 43:6,23	58:2	referred 32:3
person 7:13 8:20	post-hearing	44:24 45:8,14	quoted 15:20	refresh 55:17
personal 64:9	3:19,20,22	45:22 46:3	D	56:6
personally 28:5	42:12 59:5,10	51:10 56:10	R 22.5	refreshed 55:23
petition 33:20	pouring 24:17	property 6:8,13	R 2:1 22:5	refresher 3:14
petitioned 35:7	preconceived	6:16 29:22	Raise 22:2	regard 19:9
petitioner 1:4	24:9 25:21	58:20	ramifications	regarding 15:21
3:7 62:10	predecessor	proponent 38:14	38:24	40:21 42:24
petitioner's	37:11	proponents	rampant 30:10	43:6,13 44:21
45:24	prefer 53:13	27:16 28:5,20	Randolph 2:3	45:19 47:10
phonetic 38:4	present 38:5	29:3 30:15	rather 62:9	52:22 59:23
physical 34:4	presentation	proposal 23:2	read 49:8	Registered 1:13
pitting 37:6	38:6	proposed 6:9	reading 15:24	regrettably
placed 29:15	presented 24:7	15:21 16:6	16:3,7	28:10
			ready 49:15	ľ
				l

regular 47:9	respond 40:14	safety 24:13	signed 7:6 8:2,5	speech 34:2,8
reiterated 22:17	41:4,16 42:9	25:18	8:8,12 10:22	35:11
relating 28:24	respondent 1:8	same 11:23 33:6	signs 26:23 27:1	speed 8:18
relationship 5:8	3:8 62:16	42:18,19,21	27:2 28:3,6	spell 31:5 36:22
relayed 16:20	respondent's	53:4 55:3,4	since 37:19,19	57:9
relevant 22:2	62:18	62:3,18	sinister 58:14	spoken 62:6
60:20	response 45:24	sanitation 38:23	sir 4:19 9:16	spring 11:18
rely 7:20 20:2	46:5	says 25:17	13:18 21:13	squarely 29:15
remember 12:11	responsible	schedule 3:23	25:4 26:3,6	SS 64:1
15:16	29:17	schedules 3:19	30:21,23 36:17	stamped 44:12
reminds 42:1	rested 21:1 40:2	56:19 59:5,10	39:10	46:6,16,20,23
removed 26:24	rests 3:21	School 38:1	sit 12:22	Stan 22:5
27:19 28:1	resulted 33:1	scope 23:9 37:3	site 6:9 27:15	stance 35:8
removes 28:3	review 3:18 23:1	seat 26:11	sited 29:22	stand 26:12 31:2
renew 60:19	re-election	seats 11:14	siting 6:6 8:6	34:14 57:23
repeatedly	11:11	see 8:15 9:2	10:17 14:18	started 3:10 4:5
22:15,23	right 13:16	12:21 13:7,13	22:13 29:9	state 4:18 22:19
reply 59:17	14:15 15:3	13:21 20:13	33:2,10 35:24	26:14 30:9,11
62:10	16:17,21 18:23	28:10 44:13	37:23 43:14,17	33:12 57:9
report 1:12 28:6	22:2 27:23	55:8 56:6 58:1	46:15,19 55:13	64:1
41:2 47:2,6,13	34:7 40:16	seek 6:6	sitings 30:13	stated 8:1 25:8
47:19,22 48:1	42:24 50:8	seen 17:10 51:18	sitting 39:12	28:2,14,22
48:4 55:12,17	54:7 56:13	51:21 61:4	46:11	statement 21:9
reported 64:5	rights 29:1 33:9	sense 58:11,13	six 52:23	21:15 23:24
reporter 1:13	33:15,18,21	September 46:6	skewed 34:19	56:4,21 57:5
57:10	34:2	47:4,7	slugging 38:11	57:22 58:3
representation	Road 1:14 2:7	seriously 57:18	sole 5:11	60:4
39:7	2:16	sessions 27:4	solely 54:14	statements
representative	Robyn 16:4	set 3:22 27:13	some 6:15,19	30:14 39:13
22:20 25:14	44:15 45:5	30:19 35:18,23	14:22 15:9	62:5
37:16	57:22	59:19	16:18 17:4	States 34:1,8
representatives	room 27:14 28:9	several 37:12	23:12 24:3	statute 33:13
33:6	RPR 64:4,11	38:13	37:18 38:24	statutory 33:9
represented	rule 33:17	severe 38:24	42:23 51:15	stay 28:1
40:1 62:15	rules 33:13	severely 37:5,14	58:21 59:9	stenographic
required 29:24	40:24	Shaw 22:20	62:4	64:8
40:21	ruling 48:11	25:14	somehow 52:15	step 20:13,24
reserve 38:1	50:3,7,15 61:7	sheet 60:18,19	someone 19:17	26:6
41:1 42:11	61:15	61:6,8	something 39:4	stepped 35:3
resident 4:21	rulings 48:20,24	short 56:22	sorry 20:20	stepping 32:12
5:5,7 22:6,7	49:2 51:13	shorthand 64:5	41:22 42:6	stifle 33:18
37:1	53:22	show 9:11 12:14	56:20	still 9:2 14:13
residents 22:23	rural 4:24	13:3 25:23	sort 51:19	53:4 55:9
resolution 46:10	<u>S</u> -	showing 27:6,11	sound 58:14	stipulate 8:18
46:14,19,21		shown 53:8	sour 34:23	stipulation 8:24
resolved 39:4	S 2:1,19 7:3	side 27:8 30:7	speak 22:9 31:4	43:9
respectful 29:3	36:23	34:18 58:1	37:9	stolen 28:7
respectfully	safe 28:12	signature 10:14	specifically	stones 34:9
40:23	safest 12:8 22:16	10:19,20	30:12	stop 58:18
	22:18			
L	-	-	•	•

		<u> </u>	2	<u> </u>
stops 8:19	take 7:23 32:10	57:14 58:24	36:11 41:3	38:10
story 34:19 55:3	40:13 41:23	59:2,6 60:6	56:24 57:1	under 21:15,19
storyboards	48:10 51:9	62:22 63:1,3,4	58:18 62:18	24:1 34:7
27:2,11,24,24	52:7,11,13	63:7	times 28:19 29:4	35:12 40:13
straight 30:19	53:3,12 56:24	Thanks 36:11	tipping 29:16	57:5 64:9
streamline 62:4	61:12	their 3:24 15:21	30:1	understand
Street 2:3	taken 1:12 10:5	20:4 27:6 29:1	today 3:12 26:21	22:11 51:16
strenuous 51:22	18:5 43:23	31:14,20,21	58:24	undertaken
strike 30:5	44:23 45:8,13	32:7,9 33:8,20	together 38:11	32:22
53:11	45:22 46:3	34:16,21 35:4	told 22:15,23	unfair 30:16,17
study 12:9	61:21 64:9	35:8,8,16 36:5	37:12 39:1	32:23
stuff 40:15	taking 52:24	36:7 37:9,23	top 24:18	unfortunately
50:22	53:20	39:13 40:2	tore 28:11	36:1
subject 21:16,21	talk 16:10 35:13	49:24 53:3	torturous 29:4	Unincorporated
submission 62:8	56:19 60:9	57:17,18 58:6	town 37:22	4:23
submissions	talked 17:14	58:6,10,21	transcribed 64:9	United 1:6 2:14
62:2	37:10 49:23	63:2,5	transcript 3:18	3:7 10:9,18
subpoenaed	talking 52:2	thing 35:21	12:15,15 54:10	34:1,8 47:2,9
34:14	Tamara 1:12	things 8:18 24:3	55:1 59:11	until 57:3
SUBSCRIBED	64:4,11	31:10	64:7	upheld 36:8
64:19	Tammi 22:2	think 7:14 17:9	transcripts 41:7	uphold 36:10
subscription	31:4	17:15 19:20	41:11	used 55:17 56:6
15:5,8,13	Tap 38:2,8	23:7 24:2	transmitted	usually 40:24
substantive 23:7	task 36:2	25:11 28:12	8:13	
23:14 35:14	team 7:20,23	41:3 42:23	treated 30:16	V
success 7:21	13:23 19:12	49:8 50:6,17	truck 28:7	Valerie 40:10
20:4	20:2 27:5,17	51:2 52:11	true 64:7	various 11:23
Suite 2:3,17	38:19	54:6 55:7,10	Trust 6:16 7:2	15:20 27:3
Sunday 15:18	technical 23:8	56:15 60:18,20	trusted 34:24	vehicle 28:11
16:12 17:1	25:2	61:1,24	truth 14:7 32:20	verification 46:4
supersedes	tell 10:4 14:7	thinking 38:10	try 31:22	version 34:20,22
33:24	telling 30:16	third 3:5	trying 24:15	34:23
support 27:7	Ten 5:2	Thirty-two	29:21 32:14	versus 3:7 48:9
46:4	tender 54:7	55:16 56:12	38:20 52:20	48:18
supposed 38:4	Terrific 42:17	though 8:1	twisting 58:5,9	very 8:10 22:21
Sure 8:16 49:21	testified 4:15	thought 16:4	two 15:16 16:16	24:5 39:3,3
surrounding	34:15 55:24	thoughts 36:12	23:9 25:2	57:18
20:2 31:19	testify 34:15	threats 34:3,4	28:15 41:15	view 27:21
Sutcliffe 16:4	testimony 23:15	three 17:13	52:2,3	58:10
44:12,15,19	25:9 37:18	three-foot 27:11	two-and-a-half	views 49:12
45:1,5 57:23	40:10 43:24	through 37:18	6:3	vigorous 33:9
57:24	51:11	40:15 42:23	two-foot 27:11	Vineyard 56:20
swear 22:3	thank 4:6 9:15	43:3	type 40:20	57:2,7,11,11
sworn 4:11,15	18:19 19:4	throughout		57:15
22:4 23:13	20:9,12 26:2,6	30:11 31:13	<u>U</u>	virtually 51:20
36:17 64:19	30:17,20,22	time 3:23 4:2	Uh-huh 13:17	voice 22:10
system 58:19	36:13,15,24	11:24 12:9	ultimate 3:16	37:22 45:20
	39:7,9 45:17	15:9 21:7 23:6	ultimately 8:20	vote 17:11 18:5
<u>T</u>	54:23 56:14,17	23:19 35:22	32:24	18:15 57:17,18
table 38:8			unbiased 38:5	58:6
			·	

				
voted 17:6,24	wells 24:14,21	31:14 34:16	070V43 48:8	47:5
18:8	24:22	35:22 40:22	08 48:19	2nd 45:7
votes 29:21	well-trained	Wolfer 37:11	08-95 51:14 52:8	20 39:11 44:11
vs 1:5	58:12	working 29:19	08-96 52:8	2006 11:2,5
V-I-N-E-Y-A	went 3:11 12:9	wrecks 58:20	084-004560	12:24 14:11,14
57:12	38:2	writing 40:14	64:12	15:14 43:20
	Werderich 16:5	49:8		45:8 47:4,7,11
W	45:19	written 10:9	1	47:14,17,20,23
Wacker 2:11	were 11:15 12:7	42:15 49:7	1 12:10 42:3	48:2
waiting 12:7	12:21 13:1,10	60:8 61:10	43:5,9,11	2006-117 46:9
waive 42:13	13:24 15:20		45:20 47:1	2007 11:6,19
60:1	16:5,8,17,19	Y	1st 11:2,5 14:10	15:14 18:6
waiver 59:24	17:14 22:14,23	yeah 49:19	14:14 43:19	43:23 44:5,9
60:7,9	24:3,11,22	50:19	60:3,8	44:16,19,22
walk 38:7	27:1,2,6,10,24	years 5:2 6:3	10 13:13 49:4,23	48:5 54:11
Wally 16:5	28:1,6,16,17	7:22 15:10	60:13 61:2,5	55:2
45:19	28:18,19,20,23	24:23 26:20	61:14	2009 1:16 59:12
want 16:12	28:23,23,24	30:11	10th 47:11	59:20 60:3,8
18:20 33:16,23	29:2,7 30:3	yesterday 3:11	100 2:3	64:20
36:17 39:16	34:4 38:18	26:23	1001 2:16	201-2000 2:12
49:16 50:1,16	58:2,21 63:9	Yorkville 1:6,14	11 48:17 49:4,22	21 44:14
56:5 57:4 63:1	West 2:3,11	2:14 3:8,21 5:3	49:23 60:13,16	21st 3:11
63:3,4	we'll 8:18 42:4	8:14 9:6,12,18	60:18 61:1,2	22 44:17
wanted 12:8	42:13 59:5	9:24 10:10,19	61:16,21	22nd 3:11 46:6
27:18 31:9	we're 42:23 50:9	11:6 14:19	11-500 2:3	225 2:11
38:6	59:3,8 60:21	19:4 21:1 22:7	11/7/06 45:15,16	23 12:17,19 13:8
wants 34:17	we've 17:13	22:23 26:17,19	12 48:23 49:4,22	44:20
42:9	53:24 55:7	28:16,20 29:12	51:13 52:16,22	23rd 1:15 3:12
Ward 45:4,20	59:9,10	30:3 31:9,17	53:8,11,19	44:22 48:5
Warrenville	Whereabouts	31:19 32:2,19	60:16 61:1	54:11
2:16	12:18	32:24 33:5,7	12th 59:14	24 44:23
wasn't 28:12	while 3:21 28:7	37:2 41:14	13 49:6,18 50:23	24th 18:5,15
waste 5:24	33:5	47:2,10 48:9	13th 47:20	47:14 55:2
water 24:16,17	whole 29:14	48:18 50:11	14 43:22	25 24:23 45:3
58:20	WILDMAN	51:1 63:4	14th 47:23	25th 47:4
way 17:10,11,13	2:11	Yorkville's	15 44:2	26 45:6
35:4 53:13,17	willing 30:2	39:24 43:11,15	15th 15:18 16:1	26th 41:9,12
weather 63:6	windshield 28:7	46:5 53:9	44:5 50:23	47:7 59:15
web 44:11,15,18	withdrawing	59:16 62:3	16 44:6	62:9
website 59:12	60:22		16th 16:24	27 45:9
weekends 17:3	witness 4:11,14	\$	17 44:7	28 45:18
weeks 41:15	7:16,19 17:20	\$100,000 10:10	17th 15:17 59:17	29 45:22
weigh 25:9 51:1	19:24 20:15	43:17	62:3,8,24	
welcome 14:22	22:4,5 23:7	0	18 54:5,9 55:3	3
well 6:23 12:6	-24:2 26:23	0V 61:15	19 54:6,24	3 9:19,24 10:7
17:9 23:23	43:24 51:11	04/23/09 9:9,21	2	10:16 39:15,24
25:16 33:7	56:6	04/23/09 9.9,21		43:16,17 47:8
37:11 38:13	witnesses 28:14	07 61:15	2 9:7,12 10:6,7	3D 27:14
41:5,23 49:19	28:22 30:18	07-146 1:5	39:15,24 40:19	3rd 44:16 45:4
49:23 53:2		0/-1701.J	41:24 43:16,16	30 46:2
	ı	ı		ı

			J
30th 47:16 48:2	53:21		
I .			
31 46:8 55:15	80 46:16		¥
31st 59:18,22	814-3461 2:4		
62:11	815 2:8		
312 2:4,12	82 46:20		
32 54:3 55:9,10	86 46:23		
33 46:11			
34 46:13	9		
35 46:18	9 12:17,19 13:3		
36 46:23	13:5 48:3		
37-year 5:7	9th 44:19		
39.2 33:24 35:17	9:00 1:15 3:13		
39.2 33:24 33:17	902 1:14		
4	95 52:22 53:15		
	96 52:22 53:15 96 52:22 53:15		
4 47:12	70 32:22 33:13		
43 61:15			
431-1500 2:8			
434-0400 2:18			
49 5:22			
5			
5 47:16			
5th 43:22 59:20		¥1	
500 2:17			
51 5:18,20	1.0		
58 44:12			
59 26:20	•		
6			
6 47:18			
6th 59:12			
60532 2:17			
60601 2:4			
60606-1229 2:12			
609 2:7			
61350 2:7			
630 2:18			1
68 48:19			
7			
7 47:21			
7th 41:18 45:11			
7-146 3:6			
8		**	
8 13:13 47:24			
8th 44:9			
8-95 46:1,7 49:1			
53:21			
8-96 49:1 51:14			
			I